



## EUROPAN 17 EUROPAN NEDERLAND

**c/o URBANOFFICE Architects**  
**Amsteldijk 83-2**  
**1074JB Amsterdam – NL**  
**[info@europan.nl](mailto:info@europan.nl) (general contact)**  
**[e17@europan.nl](mailto:e17@europan.nl) (E17 related issues contact)**  
**[www.europan.nl](http://www.europan.nl)**  
**Dutch, English**  
**10h-17h – Monday to Friday**

**NATIONAL SECRETARIAT**      Madir Shah, Director

### **MEMBERSHIP OF THE NATIONAL COMMITTEE**

The Europan Nederland foundation is responsible for the competition organised in the Netherlands. The national committee is formed by the board of this foundation.

<b>President</b>	André Kempe
<b>Secretary</b>	Sabine Lebesque
<b>Treasurer</b>	Jonathan Woodroffe
<b>Director</b>	Madir Shah

### **SITES AND PRIZES AVAILABLE**

6 sites in Stedelijke Regio Breda Tilburg (SRBT):

#1: Etten-Leur, #2: 't Zoet, #3: Waalwijk, #4: Schorsmolen, #5: Groenewoud, #6: Kenniskwartier

12 prizes: 6 winners and 6 runners-up

Special mentions

### **PUBLICITY FOR THE EUROPAN 17 COMPETITION**

- A banner about Europan 17 on [www.archined.nl](http://www.archined.nl) and other online platforms such as Linked-in, Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, etc.
- The sending by normal post information about Europan 17.
- Mentions on several websites related to architecture and urban design.
- Up-to-date information on [www.europan.nl](http://www.europan.nl).
- The sending of several press releases about the start of Europan 17.

### **LEGAL PROVISIONS FOR FOREIGN ARCHITECTS**

Although anyone is free to set up practice as an architect in the Netherlands, the title of architect has been professionally protected since 1988. This means that anyone wishing to use the title in the Netherlands must be registered with the Stichting Bureau Architectenregister (Architects' registration board) in the Hague.

The leader of a team participating for one of the Dutch sites has to be an architect. If he/she has the Dutch nationality or is resident in The Netherlands, he/she has to be registered in the Architects Register (see [www.architectenregister.nl](http://www.architectenregister.nl)) as an architect.

The same applies to town planners, landscape architects and interior architects who are members of a team wishing to participate in one of the Dutch sites and who have either the Dutch nationality or are living in the Netherlands.

## PUBLICATIONS FOR THE EUROPEAN COMPETITIONS

### Results

After each competition round a full colour, bilingual (Dutch and English) publication is made by European Nederland. The publications contain images and texts about the results in the Netherlands, interviews with the winners, essays, facts and implementations.

- European 15, IN THE MIDST OF THE UNPREDICTABLE - searching for a productive Rotterdam
- European 14, PRODUCTIVE AMSTERDAM – Space for a new Economy
- European 10, 10x European
- European 9. European Urbanity - Sustainable City and New Public Space
- European 8. European Urbanity and Strategic Projects
- European 7. Suburban Challenge, Urban Intensity and Housing Diversity
- European 6. In-between Cities
- European 5. New Housing Landscapes, Travel and Proximity
- European 4. Constructing the Town upon the Town, Transformation of
- European 3. At Home in the City, Urbanisation of Residential Area
- European 2. Living in the City, Re-evaluation of Urban Areas
- European 1. Evolving Lifestyles and Housing

### Implementations

- 35 dwellings in Arnhem. Architectes : Pim Köther and Ton Salman
- 5 housing blocks in Amsterdam-Noord. Architectes : Gerhard Sacher and Dietmar Prietl
- An island in the city, 156 housing units in Zaanstad. Architectes : Gerard Maccreanor and Richard Lavington
- A Housing Block with Roof-Top Car Park - 57 apartments in Nijmegen. Architectes : Dick van Gameren and Bjarne Mastenbroek.
- The Zaanwerf - 253 housing units in Zaanstad. Architects: Tania Concko and Pierre Gautier
- 3UP2DOWN - 59 housing units for starters and the handicapped in Den Bosch. Architectes : Don Murphy.
- Fanciful Eruption - 112 housing units, 112 parking spaces, 1,200 m<sup>2</sup> business, Osdorpplein Amsterdam. Architectes : Floor Arons and Arnoud Gelauff
- The social recapture of the city - 145 housing units, 300 parking spaces, 4.500 m<sup>2</sup> commercial space and services, Schots 1 + 2, Groningen. Architectes : S333 Architecture + Urbanism.
- The Reconstruction of the City - 89 housing units, parking facilities and an inner garden in Haarlem. Architectes : Gaby Higgs and Gary Young
- Urban symbiosis with an open end - 41 apartments, 42 terraced houses and 160 basement parking spaces in Groningen. Architectes : Casanova + Hernandez

## IMPLEMENTATIONS

### European 1

1. **Arnhem:** Pim Köther - Ton Salman. 35 Units of social housing for Stichting Volkshuisvesting. European Implementations, series # 4 (ISBN 960-7424-06-9).
2. **Amsterdam-Noord:** Dietmar Prietl - Gerhard Sacher. 79 Units of social housing for Protestantse Woningbouwvereniging. European Implementations, series # 9 (ISBN 960-7424-11)
3. **Den Haag:** Tangram Architecten. As an indirect consequence of the European 1 results, a number of projects have been implemented.
4. **Almere:** Hans Sluymmer. 30 Units of social housing for Woningbouwvereniging Almere.

## European 2

1. **Nijmegen:** Winfried van Zeeland. Received an alternative commission for social housing elsewhere in the city.
2. **Nijmegen:** Dick van Gameren - Bjarne Mastenbroek. 57 Apartments, parking and shopping space for Talis Woondiensten. European Implementations, series # 14 (ISBN 960-7424-22-0).
3. **Zaanstad:** Tania Concko - Pierre Gautier. Urban design for the municipality, 102 dwellings and apartments and parking space for De Zaanwerf cv. European Implementations, series # 15 (ISBN 960-7424-26-3).
4. **Zaanstad:** Gerard Maccreanor - Richard Lavington. 134 Dwellings and 33 apartments for Bouwfonds Woningbouw. European Implementations, series # 13 (ISBN 960-7424-21-2).

## European 3

1. **Groningen:** Jonathan Woodroffe - Christopher Moller - Dominic Papa. Urban design and 44 dwellings, 105 apartments, 2 supermarkets, parking and shopping space (European NL serie: ISBN 90-808734-1-1).
2. **Den Bosch:** Don Murphy. Alternative commission for 58 apartments for BrabantWonen. European Implementations, series # 16 (ISBN 960-7424-28-X).
3. **Haarlem:** Gary Young - Gabrielle Higgs, Haarlem. The Reconstruction of the City, 89 housing units, parking facilities and an inner garden (European NL serie: ISBN 90-808734-2-X).
4. **Dordrecht:** Tom Jefferies - Adrian Evans - Dominic Wilkinson. Alternative commission by Progrez for a crèche broke off.

## European 4

1. **Amsterdam-Osdorp:** Floor Arons. 112 Apartments, parking and business space for Woningcorporatie Het Oosten. European Implementations, series # 18 (ISBN 960-7424-37-9).
2. **Den Haag:** Pierre Boudry - Marjolijn Boudry. Alternative commission by Bouwfonds Woningbouw for 35 dwellings.
3. **Emmen:** Ira Koers - Mikel van Gelderen - Jurjen Zeinstra. Commission broken off.
4. **Almelo:** Madeleine Maaskant - Victor van Velzen. Alternative commissions broken off. As an indirect consequence of the European results, a number of commissions were received.

## European 5

1. **Amsterdam-Oost:** Joost Glissenaar - Klaas van der Molen. Alternative commission for 30 apartments for elderly, a library and a care centre. Building started in 2007. In 2006 the office of the architects 'BAR' won the 'AM NAI prize' for young architects with their project in Middelburg.
2. **Almere:** Alberto Nicolau. Study made for competition building on alternative site; this never led to a commission for a project.
3. **Almere:** Siebold Nijenhuis - Aldo Vos. Commission for original site in autumn 2000. Building started in 2007.
4. **Haarlemmermeer:** Gijs Raggars. Made a preliminary design for a small residential tower on an alternative site. No further commission.
5. **Rotterdam:** André Kempe - Oliver Thill. Received an alternative commission for housing elsewhere in the city that finally has not been built due to the 2010 crisis. Won many prizes after winning European.

## European 6

1. **Amsterdam:** Judith Korpershoek - Jan Richard Kikkert. No further commission.
2. **Apeldoorn:** Lars Courage - Jan Willem Visscher. Working out part of the study site.
3. **Groningen:** Helena Casanova - Jesús Hernández. After a feasibility study for housing on the European site - commissioned by Nijestee - was made, the project was worked out and the building started in 2009. Construction of 41 apartments, 42 terraced houses and 180 basement parking spaces (European NL serie: 978-90-808734-0-7).

4. **Hoogvliet:** Patrick Meyers - Ilse Castermans - Peter Knaven. Peter Knaven left the group. The other architects were commissioned for a new plan for the original site, which should be built in 2007, but that project is rejected. The municipality is addressed about its commitment.

5. **Lelystad:** Regis Verplaetse - Christopher Burton - Eddy Joaquim. The winning project will not be built. The architects made a study for another site, the original town centre, which was received positive by the municipality.

#### **European 7**

1. **Amsterdam:** Giacomo Summa. The ideas on the development of the site changed many times and the architects of the Baukuh collective were not involved in it.

2. **The Hague:** Jesús Hernández - Helena Casanova. The winning project will not be built. Also the alternative commission was broken off by housing corporation Staedion.

3. **Hengelo:** Kurt van Belle - Patricia Medina. Commissioned for the competition project on another part of the study site, but realisation of the project waits for a decision about existing buildings on the site.

4. **Hengelo:** Sechmet Bötger. Commissioned to elaborate the second prize winning scheme for the competition site, but unsure when building will take place.

5. **Rotterdam Zuidwijk:** Ilse Castermans - Patrick Meyers. Waiting for the site to be free for development, the architects were commissioned for a housing project in the same district, but that project is rejected.

#### **European 8**

1. **Alkmaar:** René Berbee - Marc Holvoet. The municipality made a new programme for the intervention site, after which a European tender was issued in which the winners were mentioned. No further development.

2. **Dordrecht:** Wouter van Alebeek - Joost van Noort. The winning project will not be built. Waiting for an alternative commission the architects were involved in a study for 'flood proof building'. Later the development of the area was completely rescheduled. No further development.

3. **Enschede:** Robert Verrijt - Foris Cornelisse. The municipality decided to wait for a plan for the complete station area before deciding what to do with the winning scheme. No further development

4. **Haarlem:** Rindert Gerritsma. The municipality made an urban programme of requirements for the site, based on the winning project. After that the development of the area was handed over to the market. No further development.

5. **Tilburg:** Dominique ter Beek - Jenny Eklund - Chris Luth. The municipality made a 'starting note', after which the legal procedures for realising the project can commence. But no further development.

6. **Zwolle:** Fabrice Henninger - Gabriel Kiderlen - Helga Schmid - Rainer Geerdes. Though the winning project will not be built, the team was to be commissioned for an alternative project, which appeared to be disputable.

#### **European 9**

1. **Almere:** Robert-Jan de Kort, Sander van Schaik, Max Cohen de Lara. The first part of the process of implementing the plan aims at the making of an urban design by the winning architects. This design is based on the competition entry. No further development.

2. **Amsterdam:** Auguste van Oppen, Marc van Asseldonk. The winners studied the possibilities of the competition design and presented the outcome to the various parties involved. The soil will not be available before 2013 due to soil decontamination. The near future aims at statism, marketing, market advice and researching the possibility to start the urban design.

3. **Groningen:** Jasper van Zellingen, Nynke-Rixt Jukema. Although the three parties involved in the site in Groningen were not happy with the winning design, they are willing to meet their engagements. The winners already had one and looked forward to two other alternative commissions. But the process stopped due to problems with inhabitants.

## European 10

1. **Emmen:** Marco Galasso, Timur Shabaev. Besides the municipality and the housing corporation now also a building contractor is involved in this site. After a workshop the winners adapted their plan to the realisation of social housing. The 'sutures' from the winning plan will be preserved as much as possible. The winners elaborated three housing types, which are executed and delivered in 2017.
2. **Den Haag:** Emile Revier, Boris Hocks, Han Dijk. Due to, among others, the economical crises the clients cannot offer the winners much. The clients feel that the problems on the European 10 site are not urgent enough. After some difficult discussions the clients gave the architects a small alternative commission.
3. **Maastricht:** Esther Stevelink, Arie Bergsma. Due to the fact that one of the parties involved in the development withdrew from the area, the ambitions for the site has been adjusted. The European assignment comprised of apartments within a large project, but new built apartments are not very popular on the market. No further development.
4. **Utrecht:** Jan Bochmann. In consultation with the winner a team was put together consisting of different disciplines: the housing corporation, the municipality, the winner and his business partner, engineers, technical advisors and financial advisors. The team studied both the assignment and the winning plan. As a consequence, the winner received a small feasibility study. After that the clients did unfortunately not feel the necessity to give another commission.

## European 11

1. **Almere:** Timur Shabaev. No commission came out
2. **Amsterdam:** Sara Reichwein. Situation unclear
3. **Capelle aan den IJssel:** Elena Chevtchenko, Ken Thompson, Andrew Kitching. The team got no commission due to the crisis; stakeholders withdrew from the project.
4. **Deventer:** Erwin Schot, Bas Meijerman, Eloi Koster, Elmar Hammers. Situation unclear
5. **Eindhoven:** Daniel Zarhy. After having been invited by the university of Eindhoven in the "real" tender, the European team was then more or less kicked out by the architects who were in the lead in the tender which was a frustrating end for them.
6. **Leeuwarden:** Javier Castellano Pulido, Paloma Baquero Masats, Tomás García Píriz, Luis miguel Ruiz Avilés, Juan Antonio Serrano García. Client withdrew.

## European 12

1. **Assen:** Egbert de Warle, Marcus Kempers. No commission followed
2. **Groningen:** Remco Rolvink, Elizabeth Keller. Situation unclear
3. **Schiedam:** Maarten Thewissen, Joost van Rooijen, Redmer Weijer (runner up). The team got a commission for a masterplan that had been finalized. After some political discussions they work now on one of the components more profoundly.

## European 13

1. **Leeuwarden:** Claudia Mainardi, Giacomo Ardesio, Alessandro Bonizzoni, Veronica Caprino, Antonio Buonsante. Study commission, no further follow up.
2. **Streefkerk:** Marie Saladin, Marion Vassent-Garaud. No follow up.

## European 14

1. **Amsterdam Papavedriehoek:** Alessandro Macaluso, Architect Urbanist Carlotta Basoli, Giovanni Lavanna, Andrei Deacu (Up4 office), ongoing  
Winners have signed a contract for an Architectural commission for the project.  
Papaverwerf on the European location. Project commissioned by AHAM Vastgoed and designed by #Up4 in collaboration with Studioninedots in the form of a small-scale compact and flexible mixed building block. Project has received building permit.
2. **Amsterdam Transformatorweg:** Aleksandr Zinovev, Budarin Konstantin, Shmeleva Irina, Dimitrii Andreev, Elmira Kakabeava. Ongoing
3. **Amsterdam H-Buurt:** Timur Shabaev, Timur Karimullin, Sofia Koutsenko, Maria Krasnova, Kristina Knauf, ongoing
4. **Amsterdam Piarcopelein:** Federica Andreoni, Anna Chiara Bonora, Valeria, Lollobattista, Mattia Biagi, Marco Mondello, Valerio Socciarelli, ongoing

5. **Amsterdam Sluisbuurt:** Miguel Huelga de la Fuente, Iria de la Pena, ongoing  
**European 15**

1. **Rotterdam Vierhavensblok:** Erica Chladova (CZ, architect), Izabela Slodka (PL, architect).

- 2021 a study commission awarded
- 2021 web workshops have been held with the winner and clients

Current users of the area such as small craft workshops, a bigger furniture maker, several architects offices are quite active in discussing their future.

The city has contradictions of the urban regulations to solve to add housing in this area. The current masterplan does not allow that due to distance rules, pollution and so on that rely to former, more heavy industrial uses.

2. **Rotterdam Dakpark:** Miquel Ruiz (ES, architect), Joan Gener (ES, architect), Adrià Orriols (ES, architect). Collaborators: Daniel Gomez Massana (ES, architect), Ariana Ribas Garcia (ES, architect).

- 2021 a study commission awarded
- 2021 web workshops have been held with the winner and clients
- Winners have signed a contract for a design commission for the project. Client is the Rotterdam housing corporation Woonstad. Inbo architecten as partner office has been found and contracted as well

3. **Rotterdam Visserijplein:** Guillem Colomer (ES, architect).

- 2021 a study commission awarded
- 2021 webinars have been held with the winner and clients

Difficulty on this location for the further development is the fact, that the city is tendering the project. Together with the city European NL achieved that the winner is part of the tendering process which in the Netherlands can reach quite a complex formal situation. There are also other discussions around existing claims on the location.

- 2022 The winners are involved in these ongoing processes

4. **Rotterdam IJsselmonde:** Zuzana Jancovicova (SK, landscape architect), Davor Dusanic (SI, landscape architect), Katarina Labathova (SK, architect), Ida Bjallerbæk Pedersen (DK, architect).

- 2021 a study commission awarded
- 2021 webinars have been held with the winner and clients

Difficulty on this location for the further development is the fact, that the city is in the early stages of vision formation. Negotiations are going on between city, developers and winners. Especially this site could be interesting to be developed together with private developers.

5. **Rotterdam Brainpark I:** Wouter Keizer (NL, architect), Ule Koopmans (NL, architect). Collaborators: Douwe Strating (NL, architect), Lieke De Jong (NL, landscape architect), Anna Decker (NL, sociologist).

- 2021 a study commission awarded
- 2021 webworkshops have been held with the winner and clients

Difficulty on this location for the further development is the fact, that the city is in the early stages of vision formation.

Negotiations are going on between city, developers on how to divide the site.