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SITE AND PRIZES AVAILABLE
1 site: Kriens
4 prizes to be distributed by the jury between the winning and runner-up projects. The number of prizes may vary (+ or -) by decision of the jury according to the selected projects.

PUBLICITY FOR THE EUROPAN 14 COMPETITION
Magazines of Architecture and Urbanism
Advertisements on several websites
Publicity in several professional associations
Information on www.europan-suisse.ch

LEGAL PROVISIONS FOR FOREIGN ARCHITECTS
For European architects who want to see their ideas transformed into reality, it is enough to establish collaboration with a corresponding architect.

PUBLICATIONS FOR THE EUROPAN COMPETITIONS
Results
EUROPAN 4, "Constructing the town upon the town", Swiss results, Editions Verlag Werk AG / Editions Œuvres SA, 1996, Bilingual french/german, 168 pages in colour
EUROPAN 4, Vidéo "Jury-jury Europan 4, short-cuts", Bilingual french/german
EUROPAN 5, Review HOCHPARTERRE (supplement) " New housing landscape ", Swiss results, Bilingual french/german
EUROPAN 6, Review HOCHPARTERRE (supplement) " In between cities, architectural dynamics and new urbanity", Swiss results, Bilingual french/german
EUROPAN 7, Review HOCHPARTERRE (supplement 1-2/2004) "Sub-urban challenge, urban intensity and housing diversity", Swiss results, Bilingual french/german
EUROPAN 9, Review HOCHPARTERRE (supplement of hochparterre-wettbewerbe 3-Swiss revue of architectural competitions and Hochparterre n° 6-7 2008), Swiss results, Bilingual french/german
EUROPAN 10, Review VISO Architektur/Architecture, Docu Media Schweiz GmbH, Swiss results, Bilingual french/german
Implementations

- ARCHITECTURE AWARD GEISENDORF 1992, "Kostengünstiger Wohnungsbau" Europan Switzerland and Foundation for architecture GEISENDORFGerman 16 pages in black/white
- EUROPAN 1, Jacques Richter, Architect, Winner Europan Switzerland 1, Editions Europan Switzerland, 1991, Bilingual french/german, 18 pages in black/white
- EUROPAN 1, Marcel Dillon & Juan Menendez, Architects, Winner Europan Switzerland 1, Editions Europan Switzerland, 1991, Bilingual french/german, 18 pages in black/white
- EUROPAN 1, Joseph Dürrhammer, Architect, Winner Europan Switzerland 1, Editions Europan Switzerland, 1991, Bilingual french/german, 18 pages in black/white
- EUROPAN 2 / EUROPAN 4, Ilôt 13 / Geneva, Prélaz / Lausanne, Two exceptional realizations, Zwei aussergewönliche Realisierungen, Deux réalisations hors du commun, Europan Suisse et VISO, DOCUMEDIA Schweiz GmbH 2009, February 2009, Bilingual german/french, 64 pages in colour

IMPLEMENTATIONS

EUROPAN 1


EUROPAN 2

1- GENÈVE
Philippe Bonhôte & Oleg Calame, Winner. Block 13, 32 student dwellings for the CIGUE (school fund) implemented. 60 dwellings for Göhner Merkur SA, implemented, development of the courtyards for the city of Geneva.
Michele Bardelli & Marco Büchler, Runner-up. Invitation for the development of a botanic garden for the city.

EUROPAN 3

1- GIUBIASCO – Florian Riegler & Roger Riewe, Winner. Urban study with integration of the project for the city. Renegotiation of the projet on another site. Procedure halted after
referendum. Zürich, invitation to the Steinfabrik competition.

2- MEYRIN – Eric Pajot, Antoine Ris, Alexios Dallas, Catherine Diacomidou, Nikolaos Haritos, Nikolaos Nikodimos & Konstantinos Papandreou, Winner. Complementary study for the development of a new urban park and a hundred of dwellings. Project held over.

3- YVERDON-LES-BAINS
Peter Bergmann / Atelier Oï, Winner. Urban study. Study (Legally approved District Plan). The large "Dubat" plot of land (Contest site) has just been sold and a new district plan (drafted by a "local" firm) will be used as a guideline for future buildings. Zürich, invitation for the Steinfabrik competition : 4-th prize. Zollikofen, invitation for the Häberlimatte competition : 3-d prize.


Europan 4
1- NEUCHÂTEL – Cédric Schärer (with associated office Schärer - Bersoneni - Raffaele), Winner. The pre-permit investigation for 50 housing units has been pending since 2007. The last appeal to the TF has just been dismissed. The implementation of the projects seems possible after having settled some legal details, but the financing remains assured by the main partner who took over the whole project. A participatory approach has been initiated, but there are new oppositions, the general council should be consulted in order to adddress this situation. The feasibility study is based on a definition of the evolved program and aims to be implemented in the near future. To be continued...

2- LAUSANNE

3- BASEL – Peter Pretterhofer & Sonja Simbeni, Winner. Invitation by the city for a competition as a jury member.


Europan 5
1- AARAU – Ernst Betram, Erich Niklaus, Ursina Fausch & Hannes Henz, Runner-up. Enlargement of study area and complementary urban studies. Drafting of a district map. Then, contest with companies-investors, teaming up with the architects for three blocks (the winners were unsuccessful), but there will be opportunities to participate later in the contests for the next blocks.

2- BERN – Holger Gladys & Madir Shah, Winner. The House of Religions was inaugurated in 2015 and published throughout Europe. The winning project became the core of the House of Religions. The idea of baptizing the wasteland beneath the highway called Place de l’ Europe is from that time. The mission entrusted to the landscape architects was to create a space of communication ensuring a good urban interconnection and a real spatial quality. The surface of the square had to ensure a safe and clear connection...
between the stations separated from each other and the tramway stop, without forgetting pedestrian ways between the different accesses of streets and land.


4- **ZÜRICH**
Thomas Hildebrand & Gian -Luigi Cito, Runner-up. Invitation by the city for an urban study on the lot nearby the Europan 4 site.

5- **ZUG** – Fortunat Dettli, Runner-up. Adaptation of the project for a possible project owner. Project completed, waiting for an investor.

**Europan 6**

1- **ILLNAU-EFFRETIKON**
Wolfgang Koelbl, Christine Bärnthaler & Boris Radojkovic, Honourable Mention.
Workshop and development of the two prize-winning projects. Stages of implementations in negotiation with owners and investors. The project is part of the city's priorities but still on standby due to other development priorities (Downtown Development program).
Dieter Aeberhard, Honourable Mention. Workshop and development of the winning project.

2- **MENDRISIO** – Sonderegger team, Prati team, Nütten team, Ammon team - Seminar with all the parties involved et the 4 prize-winning teams. Studies on stand-by.

**Europan 7**

1- **LAUSANNE–MALLEY** – Sofie Troch, Gunther Slagmeulder, Kathleen Van de Werf and Dirk Waldmann, Michael Trinkner, Runner-up. A new international competition on urban public spaces was launched in September 2011. The competitors had to work on the urban morphology of downtown Malley and the design of the main public spaces on based on the SDIM studies and previous studies completed within the SDOL framework.
Seventeen architect firms took part in the competition including several foreign ones. In January 2012, the jury awarded the first prize to the “Coulisses” (“behind the scene”) project from two French firms which will be therefore, mandated to draft a partial plan for land use and realization of the Malley Square, the Theatre Square and the Malley Avenue.

2- **FRIBOURG** – Roland Stutz, Ruben Daluz Gonzalvo & Martin Bruhin, Winner. After further reflection by a committee set up to assess and promote the political / economic development of the site, it was decided that the contest site would remain construction-free for several years. The City of Fribourg archived the project file that remains available as an example of the valley urbanization, but which was not seen by the municipal administration as an opportunity to draw some tangible conclusions.

**Europan 8**

Switzerland did not participate to this session.

**Europan 9**

1- **DELEMONT** – Maria Auxiliadora Galvez, Mentionné. François Chas, Fabrice Long & Paul Maître Devallon, Runner-up. The ideas developed during the competition allowed the two teams selected to develop the leading image and participate in the development of the neighbourhood plan. The withdrawal of one of the teams caused an imbalance in the subsequent reflections. Following the will of the owner to entrust the development of construction projects to a group of independent investors, the Community has focused its efforts on developing the public space with the remaining team. Unfortunately, differences of
opinion on the details of the project between the architects and the municipality did not allow continuing the collaboration according to the will and spirit of Europan.

2- GENEVE – Marcel Lok & Berry Beuving, Winner. On standby. Project on hold due to political differences between the Departments of the Geneva Canton affected by this strategic site, follow up studies to the Europan 9 contest have been put on hold. The decision of the Conseil d’Etat to use this site for a university research centre has blocked any positive development of the winning project.

3- LE LOCLE – Anne-Lise Bideaud & Matthieu Wotling, Winner. A special allocation plan prepared based on a modified project must enable the Community to acquire the land in the agricultural zone for the creation of the initial phase of the lake. The acquisition procedure is in progress. Different studies carried out within the framework of this project are in progress. However, they are delayed by because of the bureaucratic nature of the proceedings before the Canton. The master plan for the development of the site was signed in August 2016 by the municipal and cantonal executive authorities. Work is scheduled to begin in 2018. To be continued.

4- MOUDON – Francis Jacquier, Marlièvre Leroux, Karel Anthonie Klomp, Winner. Philippe Mueller, Gian Andrea Serena, Manuel Frey, Runner-up. The project is currently on hold as no agreement with the owners was reached, but the Community has set up a management plan that targets the development of the site.

5- SION – Eli Gronn & Ivar Lyngner, Winner. Owners after signing a letter of intent mandate given for parallel study on the three plots of land concerned in 2011. Unfortunately the Europan 9 winners were not asked to sit in the jury, although this was expected. In parallel, a partial change of the area land use plan is underway and a district plan will be drafted based on the winning design of the MEP. Monitoring the situation and waiting for further developments...

**Europan 10**

1- DIETIKON – Yves Bachmann & Toshihiro Kubota, Winner. The Jury suggests pursuing discussions with the authors of the winning project. We are waiting for a political decision on a commitment on a follow up to the studies. Monitoring the situation and waiting for further developments...

2- LA CHAUX-DE-FONDS – Florence Gaudin & Benoît Coulondres, Winner. After the appointment of an engineer, the study should continue as long as the Community finds an investor. The winning concept is set aside because it is too expensive in terms of infrastructure, especially for the construction of accesses and underground car parks. The land is difficult and the costs are prohibitive. It would be necessary to modify the project (and change the concept that provides it all the quality) to make it feasible ... It is too early to start a risky real estate process. To be continued...

3- MONTREUX – Lapo Ruffi, Antonio Monaci, Vanessa Giandonati & Lorenzo Santini, Winner. The study awarded to the winners is in progress. The preparation of some complementary elements continues based on the architect's project in order to publish the PPA - Partial allocation plan in mid-summer 2016.

4- NEUCHATEL – Jean-Claude Frund & Antonio Gallina, Winner. A major public debate, through a participatory exhibition, was launched including (...), the project planned for 2017 is subject to the vote of the construction credit by the General Council.

5- NYON – Alberto Figuccio, Mehdi Aouabed & Niccolo Nessi, Winner. In the current state of development of the City of Nyon, the project is put into standby as long as the requalification planned around the railway station of Nyon is not implemented. It is very difficult to estimate when EUROPA will be launched. To be continued...

**Europan 11**

1- AIGLE – Frédéric Martinet & Vincent Trarieux, Winner. The winning concept is currently
stopped, waiting for a new impulse by the private owners in the site. This "standby" status is likely to last for quite a while. To be continued…

2- MONTHEY – Alberto Figuccio & Mehdi Aouabed, Winner. The neighbourhood plan (PQ) is ready to be submitted to public consultation. It only needs the LAT to modify the development process in a consistent way and the policies must define a strategy in the long term. To be continued…

**Europan 12**

1- COUVET – Pascal Michon, David Andrey, Xavier Apotheker, Nicolas Badin, Markus Zimmermann & Manuel Barthassat, Winner. Besides its architectural stakes, the Dubied site is problematic because of the increasing number of private owners, with multiple interests. With the support of the award-winning architects, the municipality has identified a solution that could be taken to allow public intervention where it is possible and encourage owners to engage in a concerted renovation of their property, even deferred in time. To be continued…

2- MARLY – Cristian Panaite & Mircea Munteanu, Winner. In September 2014, the winning architects presented in Pavia (IT) a variation of the project that satisfied the owners and the municipality. Following this, proposals for the Project evaluation (urban planning including a thorough study of the typologies of apartments and the landscape) and, as agreed with the owners, the preparation of a multidisciplinary team with proposals from different specialized design offices to obtain the PAD was made by the winners. The owners contacted the other members of the team, without notifying the winners and without providing any answer about their offer, to continue the studies, taking the winning project, with a member initially included in the team in charge of preparing the PAD. On March 19th, 2015, the winners learn about the progress of the project and the dynamics implemented. It seems that for the owners, they are not part of the project because they are contacted only occasionally due to their good relations with the municipality. The winner team fears not having any control over the project if they are not actively involved; therefore, they have prepared a second offer where they present themselves as designer-planner, according to the requirements indicated in an email of the owners dated October 31st, 2014 by the Community of Marly in view of its commitments made at the time of the signing of the EUROPAN charter 12. The architect would act as urban planner and draw up the PAD and the team would be the designers. To be continued…

3- KREUZLINGEN/KONSTANZ (CH/DE) – David Vogel, Winner. Based on the winning project, the cities of Kreuzlingen (CH) and Konstanz (DE) are currently analysing the regulatory and political technical conditions according to 4 levels, which include: 1/ Legal feasibility (the possibility of implementing the new channel "at the edge" of the Lake. 2 / Technical feasibility (by mid-2015 assessment of the costs of the canal and the bridges). 3 / Political discussions and (by the end of 2015) 4 / Definition of legal and planning instruments. The engineers will deliver their studies (and the costs of the operation) shortly, enabling the political authorities to decide on the continuation of the program. To be continued…

**Europan 13**

GENEVE – Tony Santos, Mounir Ayoub, Nuria Fernandez & Vanessa Lacaille, Winner. The results of the thirteenth Europan competition, entitled "The adaptable city", in particular the theme "Process of mutation of the suburban area" were presented, concerning a perimeter straddling the communes of Onex, Confignon and Bernex. Mrs Brogi, project manager (DDU / RG) briefly recalled the role and duties of the Europan competitions and introduced the representatives of the Geneva winning office "Le laboratoire d'architecture". MM. Ayoub and Santos presented their project entitled "The Intermediate City".